

UNITED STATES DEP. ... MENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS , Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. UMU1/0925 EXAMINER ...: 1112 AVARO ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED:

> Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) A is extended to run
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 9/16/78 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier esented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: The ranges are amended such that the rejection of record with Voy delts would no longer apply However references of record—ea. G.B. 2,255, 34 fl plans Ge in a mended hange— raising consideration and or further search. Note amended claims—would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment in will be entered in will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 1-4, 6-15, 17-23
Applicants respons has overdome the following rejections s: rescome 112 p. 25 Pule 1.75 obj.
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5. A The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier of presented. Further it is not clear what the scope of Apecs alloy referenced is 15 it commensurate in scope w/ claims. Also, could other factors - eg. marketing.  The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner change in the unknown of the proposed.
Other economy affect sales reported.
MARGERY PHIPPS
703) 308-2946. PTOL-303 (REV. 5-99) 46.
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR